UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,330	03/25/2004	Eitan Konstantino	021770-000120US	8217
	7590 10/06/200 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			NGUYEN, VI X	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,330	KONSTANTINO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor X. Nguyen	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 60-64,69 and 70 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 60-64,69 and 70 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to the communication filed on 2/4/2009.

Response to Amendment

2. Accordingly, claims 60-64 and 69-70 are pending in this present application.

Applicant's argument with respect to claim 60 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 60-62, 64, and 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by March et al 5,306,250.

Claims 60-62: March et al disclose a method for delivering a drug to a blood vessel lesion, said method comprising: inflating a balloon 46 to radially expand a scoring structure comprising metal scoring elements 56 carried by said balloon (fig. 2), wherein the balloon inflation engages the scoring elements against stenotic material in the lesion 28 to radially penetrate the stenotic material (see col. 3, lines 34-46); and releasing a drug (see col. 4, lines 14-26) into the scored lesion to enhance delivery into the vessel wall; wherein the drug is carried by the balloon 46 as a platform, and wherein releasing comprises embedding the drug through the stenotic material into the vessel wall (see col. 4, lines 14-26).

Claim 64: March et al disclose the drug is present in a drug containing polymer (col. 5, lines 43-50).

Claims 69-70: March et al disclose the metal scoring elements are included in a scoring cage 54 slidably carried to the balloon, where the scoring elements in the scoring cage are arranged helically 56 over the expansible balloon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March et al. With regard to claim 63, March et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but he is silent regarding the drug is present in capsules. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drug which is present in capsules, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basic of its suitability for the intended use or as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 60-64 and 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruiz 5,868,779 in view of Dror et al 5,102,402.

Claim 60: Ruiz discloses inflating a balloon 12 to radially expand a scoring structure comprising metal scoring elements (item 14, see col. lines 21-28) carried by said balloon

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

(fig.3), wherein the balloon inflation engages the scoring elements against stenotic material in the lesion 28 to radially penetrate the stenotic material (see col.2, lines45-66). Ruiz does not disclose releasing a drug into the scored lesion. However, Dror et al teach releasing a drug into the scored lesion (see col. 2, lines 31-37) in order to produce affecting drugs or diagnostic materials exactly where it is needed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method taught by Ruiz with the releasing a drug into the scored lesion as suggested by Dror so that it too would have the same advantage. As to claims 61-64, Dror teaches the drug is carried by the balloon 12 as a platform, where the drug is present in capsules 16 or in a drug containing polymer (see col. 2, line 11).

Claims 69-70: Ruiz discloses the metal scoring elements 14 are included in a scoring cage (fig. 3) slidably carried to the balloon, where the scoring elements in the scoring cage are arranged helically 56 over the expansible balloon.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VN/

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731

10/01/09